

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10977-2023
DECIDED ON: 20.03.2024

RAVINDER KAUR AND ANR

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr.Hardik Ahluwalia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Rattu, DAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8 by restraining them from interfering in their personal, peaceful life and liberty.

In compliance of the previous order dated 15.11.2023, a status report by way of an affidavit of Satinder Singh , IPS, Superintendent of Police, Amritsar Rural has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, which is taken on record. Relevant para of the status report reads as under:-

3. That in his aforesaid report dated 20.11.2023, the respondent No. has reported that the enquiry relating to the subject matter of the present has been conducted through S.I Baghel Singh, who ad visited the house of the petitioner No. 1 and recorded her statement, wherein she had stated that she had eloped with the petitioner No. 2 on 01.11.2023 and thereafter, she had returned to her parental house on 11.11.2023 and she do not have any threat from the respondent No. 4 to 8. It

has been further reported that the statements of the respondent No. 4 and 5 have also been recorded, who have stated that the petitioner No. 1 is living happily with them and they will not harass her in any way.

4. That S.1 Baghel Singh also went to the house of petitioner No. 2 to record his statement but the petitioner No. 2 was not found present at his house. Therefore, the statement of father of the petitioner No. 2 namely Sarwan Singh has been recorded, who had Stated therein that the petitioners Nos. 1 and 2 had eloped on 01.11.2023 but his son (petitioner No. 2) has not returned home since then but he is in regular touch with his son through telephone

5. That the respondent No. 3 has further reported that keeping in view the aforesaid circumstances, it has been found that the petitioners do not apprehend any threat at present and if in future, there will be any threat to their life and liberty, security will be provided accordingly.

A perusal of the said status report would depict that the statement of respondents No.4 and 5 were recorded who have stated that petitioner No.1 is living happily with them and they will not harass her in any way. Statement of father of petitioner No.2 has also been recorded who had stated that he is in regular touch with them.

In the light of above, the petitioners do not apprehend any threat at present. Hence, the present petition is dismissed being devoid of any merit.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

20.03.2024
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/no</i>