



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

255

CWP-25525-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.12.2024

Manpreet Kaur

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Maneesh Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, DAG Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court for issuance of Police verification report which is stated to have been declined on the basis of registration of an FIR.

The petitioner claims that she has been declared innocent in the final report that has been so filed and is not facing any prosecution. She also places reliance on the short reply filed by the respondents wherein it was stated in paragraph No.8 that during the course of investigation, the SHO, Police Station Phillaur found that the petitioner had not extended any help to co-accused Tejinder Singh @ Teja Mehatpuriya and therefore, she was found innocent vide case diary No.27 dated 17.03.2023. It is also specifically recorded in the said short reply that the petitioner was got discharged from the learned trial Court on 21.03.2023. The said averment is, however, disputed by the learned State counsel by referring to the order itself.

A perusal of the photocopy of the said order shows that the

petitioner therein was discharged from the custody but not from the case after recording that she may still be summoned under Section 319 of Cr.P.C. in case the prosecution so deems fit.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that no application under the provisions of Section 319 of Cr.P.C. as well as the corresponding provisions under the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has so far been moved by the prosecution.

This Court has also confronted the State counsel with a judgment dated 13.09.2023 passed by this Court in CWP-19314-2020 titled as '**Balwinder Singh Vs. Union of India and ors**' wherein a complete format of a verification report has been incorporated as a part of the said judgment.

Learned State counsel does not dispute that the said verification proforma as incorporated in the said judgment takes into consideration all the aspects including the status of the pending case(s).

The present writ petition is accordingly **disposed of** at this juncture with liberty to the petitioner to move an appropriate application for issuance of verification report, whereupon the respondents shall submit a report in the proforma as mandated by this Court in the matter of **Balwinder Singh (supra)** within a period of one month of submission of such an application.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

10.12.2024

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No