



No.2 and files Power of Attorney, which is taken on record. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during course of the day.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to secure presence of respondent No.3-complainant at the time of recording the statements in terms of the compromise (Annexure P-2).

A copy of this order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone. A perusal of the report indicates that the statement of respondent No.3 has not been recorded as she has not come present.

4. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that it is a matrimonial dispute between the petitioner and respondent No.2 and they have resolved it amicably. Learned counsel further submits that respondent No.3 is only an informant and she is a household and old-aged lady and for the compounding of the offence in the FIR (supra), presence of respondent No.2 being the victim is essential and she has no objection in case the FIR (supra) is quashed qua the petitioner.

5. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834*** and ***Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs.***



Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63 and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.578 dated 20.12.2017 under Sections 498-A, 406, 500, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Sadar Rohtak, District Rohtak (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

December 12, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No