

121

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 54651 of 2024

Date of Decision: 05.11.2024

Rishipal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:

Mr. Ravinder Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aashish Bishnoi, D.A.G., Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
0097	06.05.2023	Radaur, District Yamuna Nagar	10, 3, 7 of Essential Commodities Act, 5,6,25,28,35 of The Fertiliser (Control) Order, 1985 and 409, 420, 120-B, 34 IPC

1.

Seeking quashing of the FIR captioned above, the petitioner has come up before this Court under Section 528 BNSS.

2.

After arguing for some time, counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present petition with liberty that he be permitted to file a discharge application before the trial Court and the said Court be directed to answer each and every point so raised by him in the said application.

3.

Given above, petitioner is permitted to file a precise application for discharge, but such application should not be unnecessarily lengthy and if such application is filed in that case, the concerned Court shall decide the same by passing a reasoned and speaking order and shall answer to each and every point taken in the said application. This order is subject to the condition that the petitioner shall not seek any adjournment. Petition stands disposed of with aforesaid observations. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)

JUDGE

05.11.2024

Jyoti Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes

Whether reportable:

No.