



**325+120(A) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-59831-2022
Date of Decision:23.05.2024**

PARAMVIR SINGH ALIAS RAJA

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Tushar Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Rajiv Malhotra, Advoce
for the petitioner.

Mr. Inderjeet Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Saurabh Chawla, Advocate for respondent No.2.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.0182 dated 21.11.2017 registered under Sections 336, 323, 506, 148, 149 of IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act (Offences under Sections 34 of IPC added later on and Offence under Sections 148, 149 of IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act deleted later on) at Police Station Haibowal, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana on the basis of compromise.
2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the respondent No.2-Suraj Singh against the petitioner.
3. On notice of motion, respondent No.2 appeared in the Court through his counsel and pleaded that he has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.



4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Judicial Magistrate First Class, Ludhiana, along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner and for respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

8. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.

9. For the reasons aforestated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0182 dated 21.11.2017 registered under Sections 336, 323, 506, 148, 149 of IPC

CRM-M-59831-2022

:3:

2024.PHHC:073879



and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act (Offences under Sections 34 of IPC added later on and Offence under Sections 148, 149 of IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act deleted later on) at Police Station Haibowal, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

23.05.2024

Priyanka Thakur

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable: | Yes/No |