

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-5016-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 16.04.2024

NALINI KUMARI

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

SAYAD MASOOM AHAMED AND ORS.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. A.P.S.Deol, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Karan Kalia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Lalit Singla, Advocate
for the respondents no.1, 12, 15 to 21 and 24.

Mr. S.K.Raghuvanshi, Advocate with
Mr. Vijay Lath, Advocate,
for respondent no.3 and 5.

Mr. Nikhil Ghai, Advocate,
for respondent no.4.

Mr.Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. Since the petitioner has straightway approached this Court, by way of instant revision petition, without availing the alternate statutory remedy before the revisional court concerned, by filing the revision petition, therefore this Court is not inclined to interfere, in the instant matter

2. Consequently, the instant revision petition is **dismissed**. However, liberty is granted to the present petitioner to approach the revisional court concerned, at first instance to challenge the impugned order.

3. Further, since the petitioner has approached this Court within

the statutory period, therefore, in case any application seeking condonation of delay in filing the statutory revision petition, is filed by the petitioner, the revisional court concerned, shall take into consideration the pendency of the instant revision petition before this Court, while deciding the application so preferred.

4. All pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

April 16, 2024
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No