



CWP-29189-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-29189-2024**Date of decision: 28.10.2024**

Abhinav Sood and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Puneet Bhushan, Advocate, and
Mr. Saurav Bajaj, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have prayed for the following substantive relief:-

“Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a An appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of MANDAMUS directing the respondents to especially respondent no.2, to decide the legal notice dated 12.08.2024 and issue No dues Certificate to the petitioners as per the rule provided under Allotment Letter (Annexure P-1), otherwise a serious and grave prejudice will be caused to the petitioners.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioners had even served the Chairman, Greater Ludhiana Development Authority (respondent No.2), with a legal notice dated 09.08.2024/12.08.2024 (P-7), as regards their concerns/grievances. However, a considerable time has elapsed, but the matter has not made any tangible progress. Thus, this petition.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Jastej Singh, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, is present in Court. At



CWP-29189-2024

-2-

the outset, he submits, for the competent authority is alleged to be in seisin of the concerns/grievances of the petitioners, it would rather be expedient if the petition is disposed of, at this stage, to enable the respondent authorities to consider and pass necessary orders on the legal notice (*ibid*), in accordance with law. Further, he submits that before any such orders are passed, the petitioners shall be heard, for which, a formal communication shall be issued to them, well in advance.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is in agreement with the course suggested by learned State counsel, and submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by him. However, it is urged that the authorities be directed to do the needful within a specified time.

In response, learned State counsel submits that appropriate orders shall be passed within a period of four weeks from today.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And the appropriate orders shall be passed within the time indicated by the learned State counsel.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioners, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

28.10.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No