

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-53743-2024  
Date of decision: 10.12.2024

GURBIR SINGH ALIAS GURVIR SINGH AND ANOTHER  
....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER  
....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY


Present:- Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate for  
Mr. Vikasdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.  
  
Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG Punjab.  
  
Mr. Bhavesh Aggarwal, Advocate for  
Mr. Garvit Mittal, Advocate for respondent No.2.  
.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 18.10.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

| FIR No. | Dated      | Sections               | Police Station                              |
|---------|------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 10      | 12.02.2022 | 323, 325, 148, 149 IPC | Kabirpur (Ahlikalan)<br>District Kapurthala |

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed/affidavit dated 18.10.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of



statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sultanpur Lodhi, vide report dated 30.11.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. In compliance to the order dated 28.10.2024, an amount of Rs.10,000/- has been deposited with the Society for the Care of Blind, Sector 26, Chandigarh and receipt thereof is annexed with the report.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sultanpur Lodhi, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 10 dated 12.02.2022, under Sections 323, 325, 148, 149 IPC registered at Kabirpur (Ahlikalan) District Kapurthala and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)  
JUDGE

10.12.2024  
puneet

|     |                            |        |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |