



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

123

CWP-29153-2024
Date of decision: 25.10.2024

HC/PR SUKHDEV SINGH AND ANOTHER PETITIONERS
Vs.
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. K.K. Thakur, Advocate and
 Mr. Naveen Kaushal, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

 Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to the respondents to count the service rendered by them as Special Police Officer for qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary/retiral benefits.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners *inter alia* contends that case of the petitioners is squarely covered by judgment dated 15.02.2018 (Annexure P-6) of this Court in *Sukhjeet Singh and others v. The State of Punjab, CWP No.9936 of 2017*.
3. Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab who on advance notice is present in Court, submits that in terms of judgment of this Court in *Sukhjeet Singh (supra)*, the respondent-authorities in the case of various officials have considered their claim and passed orders. He further asserts that appropriate authority would consider case of the petitioners in terms

CWP-29153-2024

-2-

of judgment of this Court in *Sukhjeet Singh (supra)* and pass an appropriate order within three months from today.

4. In the wake of statement made by learned State counsel, the present petition stands disposed of.

25.10.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No