



115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-6254-2024

Date of decision: 25.11.2024

M/s Neeraj Textiles

...Petitioner

Versus

M/s Shri Sai Industries

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinay Kumar Mahajan, Advocate and
Mr. Sushant Mahajan, Advocate for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 03.09.2024 (Annexure P-6) passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Amritsar in CS/344/2018 whereby an application filed by the respondent for grant of permission to examine handwriting and finger print expert to prove his report dated 11.03.2024 has been allowed.

2. On 11.11.2024, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Present:- Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that since the respondent-plaintiff did not examine the handwriting expert thus, the petitioner also in his evidence did not examine any handwriting expert and thus, the petitioner should at least be granted opportunity to examine his own handwriting expert in rebuttal to the right given to the respondent-plaintiff to examine



his handwriting expert (in rebuttal).

Notice of motion for 18.11.2024.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to serve the respondent through dasti process as well as through counsel in the trial Court.

To be taken up in the urgent list.

In the meantime, the petitioner would conduct cross-examination of the handwriting expert produced by the respondent-plaintiff and same would be subject to the decision of the present revision petition.”

3. Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that in case the petitioner is granted an opportunity to examine his own handwriting expert in rebuttal then the respondent would also be granted liberty to cross-examine the handwriting expert, if any, produced by the petitioner.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present revision petition is disposed of in the following terms:-

- i) The petitioner, who is stated to be already conducting cross-examination of handwriting expert produced by the respondent-plaintiff, would be permitted to cross-examine him fully.
- ii) The petitioner would be granted liberty to examine his own handwriting expert in rebuttal to the right given to the respondent to examine his handwriting expert.
- iii) In case, the petitioner examines his own handwriting expert, needless to say that the respondent would have right to cross-examine him.

25.11.2024

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No