



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-53457-2024

Date of Decision : 25.10.2024

NAVEEN KUMAR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Ankur Lal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl.A.G., Haryana

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, prayer is made for quashing of FIR No.68, dated 05.03.2024, under Sections 420 and 120-B of the IPC (Sections 379, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC and Sections 21(1) of Mines and Minerals Act, 1957, added later on), registered at Police Station Bilaspur, District Yamunanagar, and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom. He also seeks quashing of the final report prepared under Section 173 Cr.P.C. (Annexure P-2).

2. The submissions, as made by learned counsel for the petitioner, is that throughout the final report, there is no concrete evidence with the prosecution to connect the present petitioner to the instant crime, except him being in association with the main accused.

3. The aforesaid submission, cannot be appreciated by this Court, at this stage, for seeking the validity of offence punishable under Section 120-B IPC, which finds mentioned in the instant FIR.

4. The issues which have been raised before this Court by learned counsel for the petitioner, are in fact disputed question of facts, which require thorough adjudication by the learned trial court concerned, that too after adduction of evidence by the prosecution.

5. Therefore, the petitioner is relegated to the learned trial court concerned, to raise all such pleas, at an appropriate stage of the trial.

6. **Disposed of** accordingly.

October 25, 2024
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No