



106

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10354-2024
DECIDED ON: 25.10.2024

MAMTA AND ANR
....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ORS
.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Amit Kashyap, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of direction to respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he will be satisfied, in case a direction be issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 12.10.2024 (Annexure P-3) within a time bound manner.
3. Without adverting to the merits of the case, the respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, UT, Chandigarh is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 12.10.2024 (Annexure P-3) by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
4. A copy of order so passed by supplied to the petitioners within one week thereafter.
5. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

25.10.2024

Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No