

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Civil Revision No. 6045 of 2022

Date of Decision: 05.03.2024

Monika

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Rajmal and Others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. Manjeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Mr. Karamjeet Singh, Advocates
for respondent No.4 and 5.

Mr. J.S.Santewal, Advocate
for respondent No.6 and 7.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. This revision petition has been filed against the *ad interim* interlocutory order passed by the Trial Court while refusing to grant interim injunction in favour of the petitioner (plaintiff).
2. The learned counsel representing the parties are *ad idem* that the application under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (hereinafter referred to as “CPC”) filed by the petitioner is yet to be decided.
3. Keeping in view the facts of the case, it is considered appropriate to direct the Trial Court to decide the application under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 CPC within a period of one month at the first instance.

4. With the observations made above, the present revision petition is disposed of.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

March 05, 2024
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No