



110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10296-2024

Date of Decision:- 24.10.2024

Raman Kaur

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Jaswinder Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner Raman Kaur has filed petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of appropriate writ in the nature of Mandamus, seeking directions from official respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect her life and liberty from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 13, who are relatives of petitioner, whereas, respondent No. 11 is the husband and respondents No. 12 and 13 are father-in-law and brother-in-law respectively.

2. Learned counsel for petitioner pointed out that petitioner is facing harassment from the hands of private respondents, therefore, she may be protected.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On the asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3-State.

5. Learned State counsel at the instance of LR/ASI Baldev Singh,

No. 1483, Police Station Ramdass, District Amrtisar Rural stated that no

representation has been received from the petitioner. On the other hand, respondent No. 11 has filed complaint against petitioner levelling allegations of theft of money and gold ornaments, which is under investigation. However, learned State counsel pointed out that representation of petitioner will be considered as per law and in case of any apprehension of threat then she will be provided necessary protection, considering threat perception.

6. Learned counsel for petitioner is satisfied with stand taken by learned counsel representing State.

7. In view of this, present petition is disposed of with direction to official respondents No. 2 and 3 to dispose of representation dated 18.10.2024 (Annexure P-1) of petitioner, as per law and her life be also protected, considering threat perception.

8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

24.10.2024

lalit

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No