

210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-56576-2023
Date of Decision: 08.04.2024

Manoj Kumar @ Manoj Bhatia	...Petitioner
vs.	
State of Haryana	...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Omkar Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.306 dated 10.08.2023, registered under Sections 406, 420 of IPC, at Police Station Thanesar City, District Kurukshetra.

2. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail on 09.11.2023, the petitioner was directed to appear before the Investigating Officer at 10.00 am on 24.12.2023 and to join the investigation and also to appear as and when called by the Investigating Officer. Thereafter, on 09.02.2024, learned State counsel informed this Court that though the petitioner had joined the investigation, but he was not co-operating with the Investigating Officer in the present case. Consequently, the petitioner was directed to appear before the Investigating Officer at 10.00 am on 15.02.2024 to join the investigation and was also directed to co-operate with the Investigating Officer.

However, he did not comply with the said interim order dated 09.02.2024,

passed by this Court. Again on 05.03.2024, this Court had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer at 10.00 am on 12.03.2024 to join the investigation and also to fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer.

3. Today, learned State counsel has informed the Court that inspite of granting repeated opportunities to the petitioner, he has not joined the investigation in the present case.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner is not in a position to controvert the stand taken by the learned State counsel.

5. In view of the above, the petitioner is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail as he has failed to appear before the Investigating Officer, in-spite of repeated directions issued by this Court.

6. Dismissed.

08.04.2024.
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No