

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

289

CRM-M-57036-2023
Date of Decision:05.03.2024

Kamaldeep Kumar @ Ajay
Vs.
State of Punjab

.....Petitioner

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Aminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Madhur Sharma, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Tara Singh.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

On 14.11.2023, following order was passed:

“Present:- Mr. Aminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner interalia contends that initially the F.I.R in question was registered under Section 323/324/34 IPC and Sections 325/326 IPC were added later on. It is further contended that the alleged incident is stated to have taken place on 7.7.2023 around 8 P.M whereas the F.I.R in question was registered on 9.7.2023. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation and also cooperate with the investigating agency.

Notice of motion for 20.11.2023.

Mr. Randeep Singh Khaira, DAG, Punjab accepts notice for respondent-State of Punjab and prays for time to seek instructions.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar Bokalia, Advocate has filed memo of appearance for the complainant. He has opposed the petition.

In the facts and circumstances of the matter, the petitioner is granted interim bail subject to his appearing before the investigating officer on 16.11.2023 at 11.00 A.M in the concerned Police Station. He

shall join investigation and cooperate with the investigating agency. The petitioner shall further continue to join investigation as and when required by the investigating officer. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. In case the petitioner is sought to be arrested, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.”

Today learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Tara Singh, submits that petitioner has since joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case order dated 14.11.2023 is hereby made absolute, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Disposed of.

March 05, 2024

Neetika Tuteja

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No