



103 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-53018-2024

Date of Decision: 24.10.2024

Ranjeet Singh Sandhu

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Narinder Singh Lucky, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J.

1. This is the second petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in a case FIR No.56 dated 18.05.2024, registered under Section 379B IPC, at Police Station City Kartarpur, District Jalandhar.

2. The petitioner earlier approached this Court by of filing CRM-M-30168-2024, which was allowed to be dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 19.06.2024. Now the petitioner has filed second petition for grant of anticipatory bail after a gap of about four months and there is no change in the circumstances in the present case.

3. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in **G.R. Ananda Babu Vs. State of Tamil Nadu, 2021(1) RCR (Criminal) 843** has held that successive anticipatory bail applications ought not to be entertained on specious ground of 'Changed Circumstances'.

4. In view of the law settled, the present petition being not maintainable, is hereby dismissed.

5. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

24.10.2024

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Whether Reportable

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

: Yes/No

: Yes/No