

CRWP-11936-2022

2024:PHHC:061628

260

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-11936-2022
Date of Decision: 03.05.2024

Gaganpreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Ms. Shivya Sehgal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanav Bansal, DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Apprehending threat to their life as well as harassment on behalf of police officials, the petitioner has come up before this Court by filing the present petition way back in the year 2022.

2. On a query by this court that when they were last called by the police officials and when was last threat raised to them, petitioner could not respond. Even after interacting with petitioner on telephone, petitioner’s counsel submits that they were unable to inform any such threat in between after filing of the present petition. Petitioner’s counsel could not point out any specific instance of threat.
3. Counsel for the State on instructions submits that there is no threat perception and the police officials work within the parameters of law and in case they want to investigate the petitioner, they shall do so in accordance with law.
4. Petitioner’s counsel further submits that the representation dated 30.11.2022, is still pending before the Director General of Police, Punjab, Punjab Police Headquarters, Sector-9, Chandigarh.
5. Given above, it is clarified that if the representation dated 30.11.2022 is not already decided, the petitioner can represent afresh to the concerned Commissioner of Police, within two weeks from today. If the petitioner files such representation within the above-mentioned time period of two weeks, then, the same shall be decided by the concerned Commissioner of Police, within two months, himself/herself. It is clarified that such order must be a reasoned order, and the same be communicated to the

representationists as well as his counsel, without any delay. In the representation, petitioner to mention the e-mail-id of his counsel so that the concerned officer could communicate them outcome.

6. Liberty reserved to the petitioner to file fresh petition or to take other legal remedies in accordance with the law.

7. It is clarified that there is no adjudication on merits. It is further clarified that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioner is required in any cognizable case.

8. *There would be no need for a certified copy of this order, and any Advocate for the Petitioner and State can download this order and other relevant particulars from the official web page of this court and attest it to be a true copy. The concerned officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for immediate use.*

Petition is disposed of to the extent mentioned above. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

03.05.2024
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No.