



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

118

CWP-28970-2024 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.12.2024

Harvinder Singh Virk

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Baldev Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, DAG Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Prayer made in the present petition is for directing respondents No. 1 to 3 for providing police security to the petitioner in view of the continuous threat to his life, liberty and property.

Reply by way of affidavit dated 03.12.2024 on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, has been filed today in the Court and the same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been furnished to the learned counsel for the petitioner. The relevant paras of the reply are extracted as under:-

“6. That it is most respectfully submitted that representation of petitioner (Annexure P-2) dated 13.09.2024, which was received in this office on 30.09.2024 was dealt with 04.10.2024 and threat perception reports were asked from the field units on 09.10.2024.

7. That in compliance with order dated 14.11.2024 of this Hon’ble High Court the deponent is filing the present short reply by way of this affidavit on the basis of the threat

perception reports received from the concerned field units i.e. Special Director General of Police, Internal Security Punjab and Senior Superintendent of Police, Patialal with regard to the security of the petitioner.

8. *That the Special Director General of Police, Internal Security, Punjab, vide his report dated 27.11.2024, has reported that according to the field unit report and the office record, at present there is no specific threat input indicating any threat to his security from any terrorist/militant/gangster outfits operating in the country.*

9. *That the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, vide report dated 18.11.2024, has reported that at present the petition has no threat from any terrorist/militant/gangster, so it is not recommended to provide security to the petitioner.”*

In view of the aforesaid reply, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the instant petition at this stage.

The petition is accordingly disposed of.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

04.12.2024
Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No