



CR-6348-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(126)

CR-6348-2024

Date of Decision: - 04.11.2024

Arjun Chawla

....Petitioner

Versus

Court of Shivani Garg, Civil Judge, Junior Division, Ambala and
others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Arjun Chawla, petitioner in person. (Through VC)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside, deleting/expunging adverse remarks observed in para No.7 of the impugned order dated 04.09.2024 in TA No.41 of 2024 (Annexure P-1).

2. Petitioner appearing in person, at the outset, has submitted that respondents No.1 and 2 be deleted from the array of respondents.

3. In view of the request made by the petitioner, respondents No.1 and 2 are ordered to be deleted from the array of parties. Registry is directed to carry out the necessary corrections.

4. Petitioner has submitted that the only ground that he wishes to press in the present revision petition is to the effect that in para 7 of the

**CR-6348-2024****-2-**

order dated 04.09.2024, it has been observed by the District Judge, Ambala that “Therefore, it seems that the applicant/her representative son do not properly understand the Court proceedings and lack basic knowledge of law”. It is prayed by the petitioner that the said line qua him be deleted as he is a law student and has completed all his semesters in LLB course and the result by the University of Jammu is awaited and he is to apply for enrolment to the Bar and in case, the said line is not deleted, then, he would face difficulty in seeking enrolment in the Bar. It is further submitted by the petitioner that the application for transfer was not drafted or signed by him and it was done by respondent No.3 and he was not a party to the said transfer application. It is also submitted by the petitioner that he wishes to pursue his career in law and wishes to do his work with utmost sincerity and honesty and has thus prayed that the said line qua him be deleted from the order dated 04.09.2024 (Annexure P-1). It is stated by the petitioner that he is neither seeking to challenge the order dated 04.09.2024 on merits nor he wishes to press the other prayers which have been made in the present revision petition.

5. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances and the limited prayer made by the petitioner and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has averred that he has completed all his semesters in LLB course and also the fact that he wishes to pursue his legal profession with utmost sincerity and honesty, the present revision petition is disposed of with the observation that in para 7 of the impugned order dated 04.09.2024, in the sentence i.e., “Therefore, it seems that the applicant/

CR-6348-2024

-3-

“**her representative son**” do not properly understand the Court proceedings and lack basic knowledge of law”, the words “**her representative son**” is ordered to be deleted.

6. With the said modification, the impugned order dated 04.09.2024 is upheld.

November 04, 2024
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No