



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(112)

CWP No. 28958 of 2024
Date of Decision : 21.11.2024

Anek Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. G.S. Bal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Dilshad S. Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that in the legal notice dated 15.11.2024 (Annexure P-20), the claim of the petitioner was only for the restoration of two increments keeping in view the order passed by the competent authority on 10.09.2019, copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-16 but, without deciding the said issue, the order passed is that the petitioner will not be entitled for any salary for the period he remained absent.

Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not claiming the salary for the period from 08.07.1998 to 10.09.2001 but is only claiming the restoration of the increment, which should be decided by the respondents.

Notice of motion.



On the asking of the Court, Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that appropriate order on the claim of the petitioner with regard to restoration of the increments in pursuance to the order dated 10.09.2019 (Annexure P-16) will be passed within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

November 21, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No