



CRM-M-52963-2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(132)

CRM-M-52963-2024
Date of Decision : 23.10.2024

Sandip Singh ...Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Anupam Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

- Through the instant petition, filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, a prayer is made for issuance of direction to official respondents No.1 to 3, to take necessary steps to the effect that no obstruction is caused by private respondent No.4, in plying of the vehicle of the petitioner.
- Learned counsel for the petitioner on asking for the relief (supra), has placed reliance upon *Manager, ICICI Bank Ltd. vs. Prakash Kaur and others, 2007(2) R.C.R. (Criminal) 76* and *ICICI Bank vs. Shanti Devi Sharma and others, 2008(3) R.C.R. (Criminal) 463*, and submits that the recovery of loan or seizure of vehicles could be done only through legal means.
- Without issuing notice of motion in the instant petition, a mandamus is passed upon the official respondents No.1 to 3, to take all necessary steps to the effect, that no obstruction in plying of the vehicle of the petitioner, is caused on the part of private respondent No.4, without adhering to the procedure of law.
- Disposed of** accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

October 23, 2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No