



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122

CWP-29356-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.10.2024

Anil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State Bank of India and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Ravindra Jain, Advocate for the petitioner

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer in the present petition is for quashing the order/letter No.50 dated 06.10.2023 and calculations made by the respondent-Bank, vide which the employment on the compassionate ground and alternate amount of compensation were declined.

2. Learned counsel would contend that regarding the claim raised in the present petition, a reply-cum-representation dated 17.10.2023, Annexure P-8, has been submitted by him, but the same has yet not evoked any response. He thus, at this stage, on instructions from the petitioner, prays that a direction be given to the respondents to decide the same in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Kapil Kakkar, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-SBI and has no objection to the limited prayer made.

5. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the

case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the reply-cum-representation dated 17.10.2023, in accordance with law, expeditiously.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

29.10.2024
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No