



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

106

CRWP-10308-2024(O&M)  
Date of Decision: 25.11.2024

SHIVAM  
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

VERSUS

....Petitioner  
....Respondents

CORAM : HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of an appropriate direction, order, writ especially in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents no.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of respondent no.4 and to take action on his representation dated 01.10.2024 (Annexure P-2).
2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has recently filed a representation on 01.10.2024 before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur District Ferozepur (Annexure P-2) raising his grievance as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur District Ferozepur to decide the



aforesaid representation filed by the petitioner and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to her during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 01.10.2024 (Annexure P-2) of the petitioner within a period of one month, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioner, the official respondents would provide him adequate security in order to protect his life and liberty.

( MANISHA BATRA )  
JUDGE

25.11.2024  
Deepak Patwal

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No