



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

207

CRM-M-53040-2024

Date of Decision : **November 26, 2024**

VIRENDER

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. R.N.Lohan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl.AG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Reply dated 23.11.2024 by way of an affidavit of Anoop Kumar, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani, Haryana has been filed by the learned State counsel today in the Court, the same is taken on record.
2. On 24.10.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023, the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.21 dated 21.01.2023, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the IPC, registered at P.S. Bhiwani Civil Lines, District Bhiwani.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that, the petitioner is not named in the FIR, rather the

only piece of evidence, which constitutes the bedrock for the investigating agency to arrest the petitioner, is his co-accused's disclosure statement. Moreover, since the petitioner's co-accused Ashok and Nishant Tanwar have already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court, vide the orders respectively enclosed in Annexures P-3 and P-4, therefore, the petitioner also deserves an alike relief.

3. Notice of motion for 26.11.2024.

4. Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Haryana.

5. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 24.10.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

November 26, 2024
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No