

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CRM-M-52682-2024  
Date of decision: 26.11.2024

ROSHAN LAL....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. A.S. Manaise, Advocate  
for the petitioner.  
  
Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY. J.(Oral)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

| FIR No. | Dated      | Sections                                                  | Police Station                                                 |
|---------|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| 167     | 14.09.2024 | 319(2), 318(4), 336(2), 338, 336(3), 340(2) and 62 of BNS | Division 5, Police Commissionerate Ludhiana, District Ludhiana |

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in compliance to the order dated 05.11.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such interim bail granted to the petitioner may be confirmed.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions received from ASI Subhash Chander has intimated that the petitioner has joined investigation and is no more



- 2-

required for any custodial investigation in this case nor he is required for further investigation.

4. Heard.

5. During the course of hearing on 05.11.2024, the following order was passed:-

*“Status report dated 04.11.2024 in the form of an affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Civil Lines, Ludhiana, has been filed. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.*

*2. It is inter alia contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner had been pursuing the case of his brother Sham Lal alias Shama due to the fact that wife of Sham Lal was handicapped and had signed on the surety bond but had not verified the surety in any manner as it was done by the Nambardar. He contends that the petitioner was not aware of the antecedents of the surety or the Nambardar.*

*3. List on 26.11.2024.*

*4. In these circumstances, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.*

*5. Investigating Officer of the case to remain present in Court on the next date of hearing. ”*

6. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 05.11.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 05.11.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the

evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

7.               The petition stands allowed.

26.11.2024  
amandeep

(SANJIV BERRY)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.       :  
Whether Reportable.                 :

Yes/No  
Yes/No