



116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CWP-28817-2024 (O&M)****Date of Decision : 24-10-2024****JASPREET KAUR****.....Petitioner****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****.....Respondent(s)****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Hakam Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
(joined through V.C.).

Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, AAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner in the present petition is similarly situated as petitioner in CWP No.27329 of 2016 and the benefit extended to the petitioner therein by this Court has not been extended to the petitioner despite being similarly situated, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner hence, the respondents are under obligation to grant the petitioner the same benefit as extended by this Court while passing order dated 14.03.2019 (Annexure P-4) in CWP No.27329 of 2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised in the legal notice dated 04.10.2024, copy of which has been appended as Annexure P9 and the

petitioner will be, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 04.10.2024 (Annexure P9) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

24-10-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO