



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-52415-2024
Date of Decision : 29.10.2024

ANIL BANSALPetitioner
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJABRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Gaurav Datta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.
KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

- 1. A perusal of the instant petition reflects that, in fact the instant petition is a misconceived motion, inasmuch as, despite the petitioner being seized of an alternate and efficacious statutory remedy for redressal of his grievance, yet he has sidetracked the said remedy and have straightway accessed this Court, through instituting the present petition.
- 2. Faced with the above difficulty, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the instant petition, however, with liberty reserved to the petitioner to recourse with an alternative available statutory remedy(ies) before the appropriate authority/forum concerned, for redressal of his grievance.
- 3. **Dismissed as withdrawn** with the aforesaid liberty.
- 4. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

October 29, 2024
dharamvir

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE