



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10248-2024
Date of Decision:23.10.2024

Tamanna and Anr. ...Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

Coram : Hon’ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Sunil K. Tandon, Advocate
for the petitioners (through V.C).

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

The petitioners have filed the instant petition with a prayer to direct the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and also directing the respondents No.4 to 6 not to interfere in their lives and liberty.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 12.10.2024 (Annexure P-5) to the Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar and he shall be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to look into their representation dated 12.10.2024 (Annexure P-5).

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3, at this stage.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Sheenu Sura, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing anything on the merits and claim of the

parties, respondent No.2– The Superintendent of Police, District Yamuna Nagar, is directed to depute a senior officer to look into the representation (Annexure P-5) and after seeking a report, he may pass an appropriate orders, in accordance with law.

This order shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the marriage of the parties. The parties mentioned in the petition or any other person mentioned in the petition shall be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate law, if any.

With these observations, this petition is disposed of.

23.10.2024
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No