



123

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10172-2024

Date of Decision: October 22, 2024

Lakhveer Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Manish Gilhotra Advocate
for the petitioners.

.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and to restrain private respondents No.4 to 6 not to harass or interfere in the peaceful married life of petitioners. It is further prayed to direct respondents No.1 to 3 to provide protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 6 and their other family members.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are divorcees and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. Petitioner No.1 is having a son of 20 years old and petitioner No.2 is also having one son aged about 8 years from their early marriages. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 6. Their marriage certificate evidencing their marriage is on record as Annexure P-3. The petitioners have submitted a



representation, dated 18.10.2024 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2.

- 3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3-State only.
- 4. On asking of the Court, Mr.Tarun Aggarwal, Sr.DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State.
- 5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Barnala, District Barnala, to decide the representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

October 22, 2024

meenuss

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

- | | |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |