

CRM-M-54517-2024 (O&M)

-1-

233(2) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-54517-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 25.11.2024

VIKRAM JEET SINGH AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Versus

UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Balwan Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajiv Vij, Addl. PP UT Chandigarh and  
Mr. Anil Kumar Lamdharia, Addl. PP UT Chandigarh with  
Mr. Roopse Sharma, Advocate.

Mr. Pal Singh, Advocate  
for respondents No. 2 and 3.

\*\*\*


**Harpreet Singh Brar J.(Oral)**

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition seeking quashing of FIR No.30 dated 25.03.2024 under Sections 324, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Industrial Area, District Chandigarh and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 13.09.2024 (Annexure P-3).

2. The following order was passed on 05.11.2024:

*“The petitioners have filed the present petition seeking quashing of FIR No.30 dated 25.03.2024 under Sections 324, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Industrial Area, District Chandigarh and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 13.09.2024 (Annexure P-2).*

*Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the parties have compromised the matter and as such, the present FIR is liable to be quashed.*



*Notice of motion.*

*Ms. Roopse Sharma, Advocate for Mr. Anil Kumar Lambharia, Addl. PP, UT, Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of the State and Mr. Pal Singh, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3.*

*Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 has endorsed the contention raised by learned counsel for the petitioners and has not denied the factum of compromise effected between the parties.*

*Adjourned to 25.11.2024.*


*In the meanwhile, both the parties are directed to appear before the concerned Illaqa/Duty Magistrate on 13.11.2024 for recording their statements, who shall record their respective statements with regard to the genuineness/correctness of the compromise and that the compromise is not the result of any fraud or misrepresentation and is the result of free will of the parties. It shall also be verified that besides the accused (petitioners) mentioned in the petition, there is no other accused in the FIR and the parties are not involved or declared proclaimed offender in any other criminal case.*

*The Illaqa Magistrate/Duty Magistrate shall send his/her report through learned Sessions Judge concerned on or before the date fixed before this Court.*

*Put up alongwith CRM-M-52536-2024.”*

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs.**



State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63 and Full Bench of this Court in Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.30 dated 25.03.2024 under Sections 324, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Industrial Area, District Chandigarh along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)  
JUDGE

25.11.2024  
Ajay Goswami

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |