



CRM-M-53134-2024

130 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.53134 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.10.2024

Sandeep ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Bishnoi, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sumati Jund, Advocate
for complainant/respondent No.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Complaint case	NACT No. 7115 of 2018 titled as “Raj Kumar Versus Sandeep” under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881
----------------	--

Seeking quashing of impugned order dated 13.02.2023 passed by Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridabad in complaint case captioned above vide which the petitioner has been declared as a proclaimed person, the petitioner has come up before this Court under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS).

2. Notice served upon the official respondent through State counsel. Dr. Sumati Jund, Advocate has put in appearance and has filed *vakalatnama* on behalf of respondent No.2 which is taken on record. The nature of order this court proposes to pass, no response is required from the respondent.
3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter now stands compromised and to this effect, the complaint captioned above has been dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 16.08.2024 passed by the trial court concerned.
4. Given above, nothing survives in the present petition and as such has been rendered infructuous.

2024:PHHC:107297



CRM-M-39887-2024

5. Given above, the present petition is disposed of, as having become infructuous.
Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

24.10.2024

Sonia Puri

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No.