

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.58426 of 2022
Date of decision: 4th January, 2024

Muskan

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. APS Tung, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.
Mr. Anmol Jeevan S. Gill, Advocate for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.588 dated 21.11.2022 under Sections 380, 454, 457, 427, 34 IPC (Sections 201, 401 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Islamabad, Amritsar City, District Amritsar.

2. On 28.07.2023, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked her to join investigation.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that she has been falsely implicated in the case in hand along with other co-accused for allegedly stealing ₹ 35,000/-, cheque books, rent deeds, two gold rings and other articles by breaking the locks of the portion of the complainant. While drawing the attention of this Court to Annexure P-2,

learned counsel submits that it was a matter of record that a complaint had been moved by her against the complainant, which was prior in time, and the present case was only a counterblast to her complaint. Learned counsel submits that in compliance of the said order dated 28.07.2023, the petitioner has already joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for her custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 28.07.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to her, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to her.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

January 04, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No