



CRWP-10197-2024

-1-

124

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10197-2024

Date of Decision: October 22, 2024

Sakshi and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Ms.Hasan Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners through VC.


.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents No.2 and 3 not to harass the petitioners at the instance of respondents No.4 and 5 as the petitioners have married against the wishes of private respondents with a further prayer to direct respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners and the family members of petitioner No.2.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 and 5 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 and 5. Their marriage certificate and photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. Petitioner No.1 has submitted a representation, dated 18.10.2024

(Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2.



3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3-State only.
4. On asking of the Court, Mr.Sumit Jain, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Sonipat, Haryana to decide the representation (Annexure P-5) of petitioner No.1 and grant protection to the petitioners, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

October 22, 2024

meenuss

**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |