

127

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-53854-2024

Date of Decision: 16.12.2024

JASPINDERPAL SINGH SANDHU AND ANR ... PETITIONERS

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS .. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Namish Sodhi, Advocate, for the petitioners.
 Ms. Swati Batra, DAG, Punjab.
 Mr. Vineet Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 and 3.

ANOOP CHITKARA , J.(ORAL)

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
31	12.04.2024	NRI, Police Commissionerate, Amritsar	448, 454, 380, 120-B IPC

Seeking quashing of above captioned FIR, the petitioners have come up before this Court under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023.

2. Notice served upon the official respondent through the State’s counsel.
3. After arguing for some time, petitioners' counsel submits that they would be contended and satisfied if time bound direction be given to the official respondent(s) to complete the investigation.
4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the record.
5. Given the nature of the order that this Court proposes to pass, no response is required from the respondents.
6. Counsel for the State submits that she does not have any objections to the prayer made by counsel for the petitioners.
7. Given above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the State to complete the investigation by 31.03.2025. After conclusion of investigation, if the Officer In-charge of the Police Station launches prosecution against the petitioners, in that case, the petitioners shall be at liberty to challenge the same if need so arises. All pending applications if any stand disposed of.

16.12.2024

smriti

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No