



CRM-M-52742-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(213)

CRM-M-52742-2024
Date of Decision : 20.11.2024

Rajinder Kaur ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. F.S. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. This Court, on dated 23.10.2024, had passed the hereinafter
extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

- “1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023, the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.117 dated 14.08.2024, under Sections 420, 120-B of the IPC, registered at P.S. Passiana, Patiala.*
- 2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, the petitioner has no role whatsoever in commission of the alleged offence. In fact, the plot in question was sold to the complainant by petitioner’s daughter Gurpreet Kaur, for a sale consideration of ₹ 4 lacs. Moreover, the cheque of ₹ 2 lacs, as became issued by the complainant in favour of the petitioner’s daughter, has never been encashed.*
- 3. The learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that, owing to some dispute between the petitioner’s daughter and her in-laws family, the deal of the plot could not be finalized. Therefore, the elements of ‘dishonest*



inducement' are totally lacking in the present case.

4. Notice of motion for 20.11.2024.

5. Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab.

6. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of her arrest, she shall be admitted to interim bail on her furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him from the Investigating Officer concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 23.10.2024, is hereby made absolute, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned ibid and will not operate in respect of any other

CRM-M-52742-2024

incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

November 20, 2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No