



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

271

**CRM-M-58683 of 2022
DATE OF DECISION :- 21.05.2024**

Sushma Sharma and others **...Petitioners**

Versus

The State of Haryana and others **...Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Mr. Ishan Thakur, Advocate
for Mr. L.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Ankita Ahuja, AAG, Haryana.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)

Mr. Gourav Jain, Advocate has filed vakalatnama for respondent
No. 3. The same be taken on record.

Faced with the situation that all the prosecution witnesses have
been examined, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to
withdraw the present petition at this stage with liberty to take all the pleas
available to the petitioners at all relevant stages. However, learned counsel
for the petitioners has submitted that the personal appearance of petitioner
No. 1-Sushma Sharma be exempted.

The main petition is directed to be dismissed as withdrawn with
liberty as aforesaid.

Keeping in view the fact that petitioner No. 1 is a lady aged about
presently 69 years who is required to travel from Faridabad/Delhi to attend the
trial proceedings at Tohaha, District Fatehabad, Haryana, petitioner No. 1 is



granted exemption from personal appearance before the trial Court subject to the following conditions: -

- (i) she will be represented by a counsel;
- (ii) she will not delay/stall the proceedings of the trial Court;
- (iii) she will not dispute her identity as accused;
- (iv) she will have no objection if the prosecution evidence is recorded in her absence but in presence of her counsel;
- (v) she will appear before the trial Court as and when required by the trial Court and any other condition which the trial Court may impose.

The petitioner shall file an affidavit before the concerned trial Court stipulating above conditions.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

21.05.2024
P.Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No