

223

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

CRR(F)-1019-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.09.2024

RUCHIKA RAGHUVANSHI AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

V/S

KRISHNA RAGHUVANSHI

...Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: None for the petitioners.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. Present revision petition has been preferred against the order dated 07.09.2019 whereby learned Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Gurugram held that the maintenance allowance is payable from the date of order.
2. Despite, the case having been called twice, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners today. It appears that neither the petitioners nor his counsel is interested in pursuing the present petition.
3. Under these circumstances, having left with no other option, the present petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution accordingly.
4. Pending CRM(s), if any, are also disposed of accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

24.09.2024
Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No