

[240+246] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

[1] CRM-M-56237-2023
Date of Decision : 23.01.2024

Rahul Kumar ...Petitioner
versus
State UT, Chandigarh and anotherRespondent

[2] CRM-M-62109-2023
Vijay Kumar ...Petitioner
versus
State UT, Chandigarh and anotherRespondent

Coram : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA
Present : Mr. Vikrant Guleria, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajiv Vij, Addl. PP for UT, Chandigarh.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Both the petitioners are seeking regular bail by way of petitions filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.85 dated 17.08.2023 under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, Chandigarh, District Chandigarh.

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) in both the petitions contend that the matter has already been compromised between the complainant of the case-respondent No.2 Suraj Kumar and petitioner-Rahul Kumar through his sister Varsa Kumari and co-accused, namely Vijay Kumar-petitioner in CRM-M-62109-2023. Copy of the said compromise is Annexure P-2.

[3] Learned State Counsel has placed on record the custody certificates. As per custody certificate(s), the petitioners are in custody for the last 04 months, 29 days and 05 months, 01 day respectively. All the offences are triable by the learned Magistrate.

[4] Having regard to the above facts and circumstances, particularly the compromise effected between the parties and the fact that the case is triable by the learned Magistrate, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case, both the petitions are allowed and petitioners are admitted to bail on their furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

23.01.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No