

2024:PHHC:145345



120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCP-3432-2023 (O&M)
Decided on:-07.11.2024

Guranhat Singh and others

...Petitioners..

VS.

Varun Chari, Project Director, NHAI and anr.

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Satbir Rathore, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rishi Kaushal, Advocate with
Mr. Bhupender Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.1-NHAI.

Mr. Gunjan Mehta, Addl. A.G., Punjab,
for respondent No.2.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for respondent No.1-NHAI submits that there being no dispute regarding calculation, the amount has been deposited with Competent Authority for Land Acquisition (CALA).

In terms thereof, learned State counsel states that the payment due to the petitioners shall be released in their favour within a period of two weeks from today.

2. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners does not want to press the present petition.

3. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed being not pressed. Rule stands discharged.

4. However, in case the needful is not done within the afore-stated period, as per undertaking, the petitioners would be at liberty to seek revival of the present contempt petition and in that eventuality, the erring /concerned officer(s) would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioners towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.

07.11.2024
sonika

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/ No

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE