

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

297

CRM-M-56600-2023(O&M)
Date of decision: 08.04.2024

KULDEEP

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present : Mr. Manan Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Aman Yadav, Advocate for
Mr. Himanshu Munjal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN , J.

[1] The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for quashing of FIR No.0024 dated 11.03.2019, under Sections 323, 377, 506, 498-A IPC registered at Women Police Station, Karnal, District Karnal (Annexure P-1), on the basis of compromise deed dated 12.10.2023 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the FIR was registered at the instance of respondent No.2 on account of a matrimonial dispute between the parties. He further contends that the matter has been settled between the petitioner-husband and respondent No.2-wife and compromise deed dated 12.10.2023 (Annexure P-2) has been executed between them. He submits

that petitioner-husband and respondent No.2-wife have filed a joint petition for divorce under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 before the Family Court, Karnal, as such, respondent No.2 does not want to take any action in the present FIR.

[3] Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2 has confirmed the factum of compromise between the parties.

[4] On 20.01.2024, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their statements regarding the compromise.

[5] As per the report dated 07.03.2024, received from the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Karnal through the District & Sessions Judge, Karnal, compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence. No other case is pending against the present petitioner and he has not been declared "Proclaimed Offender".

[6] Learned State counsel has not raised any objection regarding the acceptance of the present petition.

[7] Since the matter has been settled between the parties and the parties have buried the hatchet and given quietus to the matter and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the compromise between the parties is genuine and voluntarily made and in view of the ratio of the decision of Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab (SC) 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and the decision of Hon'ble the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the ends of justice would meet if the FIR and consequential proceedings are quashed by accepting the compromise between the parties.

[8] Consequently, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0024 dated 11.03.2019, under Sections 323, 377, 506, 498-A IPC registered at Women Police Station, Karnal, District Karnal (Annexure P-1), and all the subsequent proceedings emanating therefrom are ordered to be quashed, qua the petitioner.

[9] However, the respondent No.2 and the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of this order, in case the final terms and conditions of compromise (Annexure P-2) are violated.

[10] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

08.04.2024

P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No