



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

255-1 **CWP-24974-2023**
Date of Decision:28.08.2024

Deepak Ranga **..Petitioner**

vs.

State of Haryana and others **...Respondents**

255-2 **CWP-4937-2024**
Date of Decision:28.08.2024

Sonu Kumar **...Petitioner**

vs.

State of Haryana and others **...Respondents**



CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Ms. Deepika, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Suneel Ranga, DAG, Haryana
for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Ms. Palak Jain, Advocate for
Mr. Gopal Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.3 to 5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

1. The present batch of 02 writ petitions raising a common question of law are being decided by a single judgment.
2. Prayer in both the aforesaid writ petitions is for issuance of directions to the respondents to enter the name of the petitioner on the register of Pharmacists under Chapter-IV of the Pharmacy Act, 1948 by respondent No.3.



CWP-24974-2023 with connected writ petition -2-

3. Short reply on behalf of respondent No.3 to 5 in both the aforesaid writ petitions have been filed today in Court. Copies thereof have been supplied to learned counsel opposite.
4. Learned counsel for respondents No. 3 to 5 states that the relief claimed through the present writ petition has already been granted to the petitioner and therefore, the present petition be disposed of as the same is having been rendered infructuous.
5. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for respondents No. 3 to 5, the present writ petitions are disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

28.08.2024
dinesh

- 1.Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes
- 2.Whether reportable? Yes/No