

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-55649-2023
Date of decision: 29.04.2024

SATPAL SINGH @ BILLA AND OTHERSPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Adesh Pal Singh, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4.

.....
SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 16.10.2023 (Annexure P-2 and P-3) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
287	07.12.2017	323, 324, 325, 506, 148, 149 and 201 IPC	Chheharta, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise/affidavit dated 16.10.2023 (Annexure P-2 and P-3), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar, vide

report dated 06.04.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived

at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. In compliance to the order dated 06.11.2023 and 20.03.2024, an amount of Rs.5,000/- and 10,000/- has been deposited with the Poor Patients Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh respectively and receipts thereof are annexed with the report and produced in the Court today as well.

3. Respondents No. 2 to 4 are represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **“Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 287 dated 07.12.2017, under Sections 323, 324, 325, 506, 148, 149 and 201 IPC registered at Police Station Chheharta, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

29.04.2024
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE