

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

110

CRM-M-56439-2023

Date of decision: 16.04.2024

Anterpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to conduct an expeditious and impartial probe on her complaint/representation dated 29.09.2023 (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite the petitioner having approached the police for initiating action against the private respondents, the authorities concerned had been sitting over the matter. In support, he has drawn the attention of this Court to Annexure P-1 which is a representation moved by the petitioner. It has been submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on her representation.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of and respondent No.2 i.e. Commissioner of Police, District Amritsar, is directed to look into the

grievance of the petitioner and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation dated 29.09.2023 (Annexure P-1), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. : 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979*.

16.04.2024
Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No