



1

107

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.56838 of 2024(O&M)

Date of Decision: 26.11.2024

Gian P. Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate and
Mr. Rahul Vohra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Seeking direction to respondent Nos.1 to 4 to submit status report of total 28 FIRs as mentioned in para 11 of the petition, registered against respondent Nos.5 and 6-convicts, the petitioners had come up before this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent Nos.5 and 6 are absconding, police is not taking any action against these respondents nor any special efforts are being made to nab them. He further submits that petitioner is complainant against them and he has no idea about the status of FIR registered by police, action taken by them.

3. Notice served upon the official respondent through State counsel. The nature of order this Court proposes to pass, no response from the State is required.

2. Although the prayer made is not clear, however, in the entirety of facts and circumstances of the present case, the present petition is **disposed of** with direction to the State to hand over a copy of record /status pertaining to FIR filed against respondent Nos.5 and 6 detailed in para No.11 of the petition to the petitioner within a period of 60 days. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

26.11.2024

Sonia Puri

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No.