



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

105 CRR(F) No. 986 of 2019 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION :- 18.11.2024

Nirmala ...Petitioner

Versus

Mange Ram ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Mr. J.S. Thind, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sunny Tyagi, Advocate for respondent.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the respondent
are ad idem that the parties are living together.

In view of this, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
seeks to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to revive the same in case
need arises.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

Liberty is reserved in favour of the petitioner to revive the
petition on showing sufficient cause.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

18.11.2024
P.Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No