



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

278

**CRM-M No.52435 of 2024
Date of decision: 25.11.2024**

AARTI SHARMA

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Ms. Neeru Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Nidhi Garg, A.A.G., Haryana.


Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under for quashing of FIR No.332 dated 17.06.2016 registered under Sections 380 and 411 of IPC at Police Station Sonipat City, District Sonipat and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise and affidavit dated 27.09.2024 (Annexures P-2 and P-3).

2. This Court vide order dated 22.10.2024 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sonipat and got their statements recorded. It is also submitted therein that there are two accused in the present FIR namely, Aarti Sharma and Rashmi Sharma but the challan had been filed only against Aarti Sharma and Rashmi Sharma had been kept in column No.12.



On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Judge has submitted report dated 07.11.2024 to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

4. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

5. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

6. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and approved by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, this petition is allowed and No.332 dated 17.06.2016 registered under Sections 380 and 411 of IPC at Police Station Sonipat City, District Sonipat and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise and affidavit dated 27.09.2024 (Annexures P-2 and P-3) are quashed qua the petitioner.

25.11.2024
Jyoti-IV

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable :	Yes/No