

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

261

CWP No.260 of 2023
Date of Decision : 8.4.2024

Neelam Rani Petitioner
versus
State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Saurabh Bajaj, Advocate, for the petitioner
Mr. Ravi Pratap Singh, DAG, Haryana
Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Aggarwal, Advocate and
Mr. Tejas Bansal, Advocate, for respondent no.4

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA J. (ORAL):

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing respondent no.4 to release the part of gratuity, GPF, GIS and pensionary benefits of the petitioner alongwith interest.

2. Learned counsel for respondent no.4 contends that the relief claimed in the petition, i.e., part of gratuity, GPF, GIS and pensionary benefits, has been granted to the petitioner by the School.

3. Learned State counsel submits that as per affidavit dated 3.1.2024, submitted by the petitioner to the Department, she wants to withdraw the petition since pensionary benefits have been received by her.

4. In view thereof, the petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

8.4.2024
Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No