



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-28408 of 2024 (O&M)

Date of Order:04.12.2024

Karan Handa

.Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. B.S.Bajwa, Advocate
Mr. Manthan Pathania, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manmeet Singh Teji, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate
Mr. M.S.Rana, Advocate
for respondent nos. 2 and 3

ANIL KSHETARPAL, JUDGE (Oral)

1. The petitioner prays for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash the order passed by respondent no.3 on 10.09.2024 (Annexure P-14), whereby the petitioner's representation has been rejected. It is evident that the Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd. (hereinafter referred to as 'Corporation') issued an advertisement inviting applications for establishing new fuel stations on 28.06.2023 including at location SH-20 between Kilometer Stone 32 to 35, District Ferozepur, Punjab. Subsequently, it came to the notice of the Corporation that there was lack of clarity with regard to location because Stone 32 to 35 is located on State Highway which lies in Ferozepur District and Stone 32 to 34 lies on 02 locations in Ferozepur District which are as under:-

“(i) Ferozepur-Zira road SH-20 from km.32.000 to



34.555, so km. Stone 32, 33 and 34 lies on Ferozpur-Zira Road.

(ii) Ferozpur-Fazilka road SH-20 from km.32.000 to 35.000, so km. Stone 32,33, 34, and 35 lies on Ferozpur-Fazilka Road.”

2. Though, the Corporation found that the advertised stretch is not unique and the location is vague, hence, the decision was taken to withdraw the advertisement.

3. The petitioner, who was lucky in draw of lots has filed the writ petition claiming that the withdrawal of advertisement qua the fuel station in question is wrong.

4. This court has considered the submissions.

5. It is evident that the advertisement (Annexure P-3) specifying the location description, reads as under:-

“On SH-20 between KM Stone 32 to 35, District Ferozpur”

6. From the information given by Sub Divisional Engineer, National Highway, Sub Division No.1, PWD B&R Branch, Ferozpur, such location is incomplete and there is likelihood of confusion because as it is misleading being incomplete.

7. The learned counsel representing the respondent submits that the corporation will take a decision on re-advertisement as and when required.

8. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to interfere is made out.

9. Dismissed.



10. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

December 04, 2024
nt

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No