



138

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10067-2024
DATE OF DECISION: 18.10.2024

ANJALI AND ANR ...PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Ms. Pooja, Advocate for
Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Jaspal Singh Guru, AAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner by providing adequate protection to the petitioners and also directing the private respondents not to interfere in the personal life and liberty of the petitioner.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2-the Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala, District Gurdaspur to decide the representation dated 14.10.2024 (Annexure P-4) within a time bound manner.

3. Without advertng to the merits of the case, the respondent No.2- the Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala, District Gurdaspur is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 14.10.2024



CRWP-10067-2024

-2-

(Annexure P-4) by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. A copy of order so passed be supplied to the petitioner within one week thereafter.

5. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

18.10.2024
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>